

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,328	01/26/2001	Takahiro Yajima	35.C15069 5126 EXAMINER	
5514	7590 06/16/2004			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			CROWELL, ANNA M	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/769,328	YAJIMA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michelle Crowell	1763
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the equipose of the expiration date of the condens of th	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply contact than three months after the mailing the status of the same than three months after the mailing than the same that the same than	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		, the state of the difference
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 	Brief must be filed within the pet 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below):
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		ially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	σ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	_	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7 and 8</u> .		
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		
	((s)(110-1443) (apel 140(s)	 ·
0. Other:	,	Ω_{\bullet}
and		GREGORY MILLS
- **	SUPERVIS TECHN	SORY PATENT EXAMINER IOLOGY CENTER 1700

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 7 and 8 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 7 and 8 are directed to a process and the original elected invention was apparatus claims. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 7 and 8 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03..

m-7~5